REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE RE: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO HER MOTION TO REMAND

1154 S. Crescent Heights Blvd.

KANE LAW FIRM

Los Angeles, CA 90035

## 1 2

## 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

1154 S. Crescent Heights Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90035

KANE LAW FIRM

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

28

27

## TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS **OF RECORD:**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, in accordance with Federal Rule of Evidence 201, Defendants VXN Group, LLC, Strike 3 Holdings, LLC, General Media Systems, LLC, and Mike Miller (collectively "Defendants") will, and hereby do, request the Court to take judicial notice of the Plaintiff Thoma's Private Attorney General Action Complaint, attached as Exhibit 1, and filed on July 11, 2023 in the Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 23STCV161422 (the "PAGA Action") in connection with Plaintiff's Reply In Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (the "Reply"),

Specifically, in the Reply, Plaintiff's counsel represents to the Court that:

as she has already stated more than once, *Plaintiff does* not allege such extreme levels of statutory violations, but rather violations occurred 'at times' and 'on occasion.

[Dkt. 18 at 3:1-3] (Emphasis in original.)

However, Plaintiff Thoma's PAGA Action arises from the same facts and circumstances—and against the same Defendants—as this Class Action. Yet, Plaintiff Thoma's PAGA Action does not use the phrases "at times" or "on occasion" to describe the frequency of the alleged labor code violations. Instead, Thoma and BLF's PAGA Action uses the phrase "policy or procedure" sixteen (16) times to describe the frequency of the alleged labor code violations.

1154 S. Crescent Heights Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90035 KANE LAW FIRM

MOTION TO REMAND